1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
	IN THE MATTER OF: )
3	THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION )
4	OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, FOR THE )
5	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS ) -VS- )
6	AMOCO PIPELINE COMPANY, ITS ) SUCCESSORS AND/OR ASSIGNS, N/K/A )
7	B.P. PIPELINES (NORTH AMERICA), INC.) AND UNKNOWN OWNERS
8	) No. 02-0349 Petition for approval of the taking )
9	or damaging of certain properties ) owned by a public utility in )
1 0	Cook County, Illinois by exercising )
10	the right of eminent domain. ) Chicago, Illinois
11	June 20, 2002
12	Met pursuant to notice at 11:30 a.m.
13	
14	BEFORE:
15	MR. JOHN RILEY, Administrative Law Judge.
16	
17	APPEARANCES:
18	MR. DOUGLAS FELDER
19	20 South Clark Street, Suite 2000 Chicago, Illinois 60603
20	Appearing for The Department of Transportation of the State of Illinois;
21	
22	

```
1 APPEARANCES (Cont'd):
 2
       MS. CAROLINE HERMELING
        190 Corondolet, Suite 600
 3
       St. Louis, Missouri 63105
             Appearing for Amoco Pipeline Company,
             n/k/a B.P. Piplines (North America), Inc.
 4
             (telephonically);
 5
       MR. STEVEN CIANFARINI
 6
        527 East Capitol Avenue
        Springfield, Illinois 62701
 7
             Appearing for Staff (telephonically).
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21 SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
   Christine L. Kowalski, RPR
22
```

1		$\underline{I}$ $\underline{N}$	$\underline{D}$ $\underline{E}$ $\underline{X}$	D	<u>.</u>	n.	
2	Witnesses:	Direct	Cross		Re-		
3	(None.)						
4							
5							
6							
7	Number For	E X H ]	<u>BI</u>	<u>S</u>		In Evideno	~ ~
8	(None so marked.	.)	JIIICa	21011	-	III EVIGEIG	<u> </u>
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							

- 1 JUDGE RILEY: Pursuant to the direction of the
- 2 Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call
- 3 Docket 02-0349. This is a petition by the
- 4 Department of -- the Illinois Department of
- 5 Transportation versus Amoco Pipeline Company now
- 6 known as B.P. Pipe Lines, North America,
- 7 Incorporated, for approval of the taking or damaging
- 8 of certain properties owned by a public utility in
- 9 Cook County, Illinois by exercising the right of
- 10 eminent domain.
- 11 Mr. Felder, again for the Attorney
- 12 General, would you enter an appearance.
- 13 MR. FELDER: For the Department of
- 14 Transportation, my name is Douglas Felder,
- 15 F-e-l-d-e-r, 20 South Clark Street, Suite 2000,
- 16 Chicago, Illinois 60603.
- 17 JUDGE RILEY: And Ms. Hermeling?
- 18 MS. HERMELING: Your Honor, my name is Caroline
- 19 Hermeling, H-e-r-m-e-l-i-n-g. I am entering my
- 20 appearance on behalf of B.P. Pipe Lines, North
- 21 America, Inc. My address is 190 Corondolet
- 22 (phonetic), Suite 600, St. Louis, Missouri 63105.

- 1 JUDGE RILEY: That's 190 Corondolet, right?
- 2 MS. HERMELING: Yes.
- 3 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Thank you.
- And Mr. Cianfarini, would you --
- 5 MR. CIANFARINI: Yes. I'm entering an appearance
- 6 on behalf of the Illinois Commerce Commission. I'm
- 7 located at 527 East Capitol Avenue, Springfield,
- 8 Illinois 62701. And my last name is spelled
- 9 C-i-a-n-f-a-r-i-n-i.
- 10 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- 11 And, Mr. Felder, I'm going to turn
- 12 back to you and see if you can -- would you give us
- 13 an update on where we are in this matter.
- 14 MR. FELDER: Yes, I would, thank you. I have
- 15 circulated a draft of a proposed stipulation which
- 16 Ms. Hermeling has reviewed and is forwarding to her
- 17 clients for review.
- We are attempting to resolve this
- 19 matter expeditiously, whether by this stipulation or
- 20 by a short setting of a hearing date, at which time
- 21 we would put on the testimony of the Department of
- 22 Transportation engineer who could provide a

- 1 description of the -- of the property that is needed
- 2 and the pipeline in this area.
- 3 We are making progress toward a
- 4 resolution of the matter, and I think we're at the
- 5 point where we will know very shortly if we can
- 6 resolve it through the stipulation process or if we
- 7 just need to set a short hearing date and bring in
- 8 the Department's engineer who can then testify. And
- 9 we can hopefully mark the matter as taken and heard
- 10 (sic) at that time.
- JUDGE RILEY: Ms. Hermeling, is that essentially
- 12 your understanding?
- MS. HERMELING: Yes, it is your Honor. My only
- 14 suggestion is, you know, from the standpoint of
- 15 keeping it expedited, I don't want to bank on the
- 16 fact that we can get the stipulation entered. So if
- 17 we can set it for a short hearing, then if we can
- 18 get the stipulation done beforehand, great; but
- 19 otherwise, IDOT will be protected to kind of have
- 20 the hearing date as a fallback so that they can put
- 21 on the evidence.
- JUDGE RILEY: So it's either the stipulation or

- 1 the hearing is what we're talking about?
- 2 MS. HERMELING: Correct.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Mr. Cianfarini, have you
- 4 seen the stipulation in this matter?
- 5 MR. CIANFARINI: No, your Honor.
- 6 JUDGE RILEY: Mr. Felder, could you fax a copy of
- 7 that to him, also.
- 8 MR. FELDER: Yes, I will.
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Now, how much time are we
- 10 talking about to get this stipulation circulated to
- 11 everyone and to get a response?
- 12 Ms. HERMELING: Doug, did you get a sense of what
- 13 the timing was from the standpoint of the ICC? And
- 14 I don't know whether Mr. Cianfarini has an
- 15 understanding of when the ICC meets in July so that
- 16 we can --
- 17 MR. FELDER: Yes. I did get a little -- I did
- 18 get some understanding. It would appear -- I'm not
- 19 sure, Judge, you help me with this if you would.
- But if we had a hearing or if we
- 21 had a stipulation on file by the first -- say that
- 22 first few days of July, that would be like before

- 1 the 4th, either the 1st, the 2nd or the 3rd...
- 2 JUDGE RILEY: Okay.
- 3 MR. FELDER: Would -- is it your understanding
- 4 that if things were in order at that time we could
- 5 make the July 10th agenda?
- 6 JUDGE RILEY: That would be a virtual
- 7 impossibility.
- 8 MR. FELDER: That's impossible?
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: Right, yeah.
- 10 MR. FELDER: Okay.
- JUDGE RILEY: But there is a regular open meeting
- 12 on the 16th and there's another bench session on the
- 13 24th.
- MR. FELDER: How about the 16th? Would we make
- 15 that?
- 16 JUDGE RILEY: That is a possibility.
- 17 MR. FELDER: Okay.
- 18 JUDGE RILEY: I would have to get permission, but
- 19 it is a possibility. I couldn't guarantee it, but
- 20 I'd certainly make every effort to get it on there
- 21 at that time.
- 22 MR. FELDER: Okay. Well, I think if we -- I

- 1 think that's about as late as I can go at the
- 2 present schedule.
- 3 JUDGE RILEY: Okay.
- 4 MR. FELDER: If we could do it the first part of
- 5 July and get on that 16th agenda, then I think that
- 6 will meet our schedule.
- 7 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Well, then it's a question
- 8 of getting that stipulation circulated just as
- 9 rapidly as possible.
- 10 MR. FELDER: Yes.
- 11 JUDGE RILEY: And if it is -- if everyone is in
- 12 agreement with it, then get it filed on the
- 13 e-Docket.
- 14 MR. FELDER: And then I'll advise -- I'll send a
- 15 copy to you and advise you of the filing.
- JUDGE RILEY: Advise me immediately that it's on
- 17 there --
- 18 MR. FELDER: Okay.
- 19 JUDGE RILEY: -- and that the -- you know, that
- 20 everyone is in agreement, in accord. And if that is
- 21 done by the first week in July, then I think the
- 22 16th is realistic.

- 1 MR. FELDER: Okay.
- JUDGE RILEY: Again, I can't guarantee it, but I
- 3 can make every effort to get it on for that time.
- In the meantime, as a fallback,
- 5 we're going to need a hearing date.
- 6 MR. FELDER: Yes.
- 7 JUDGE RILEY: What do the parties propose?
- 8 MR. FELDER: That first week in July, Carrie, is
- 9 that enough for you, you think?
- 10 MS. HERMELING: Oh, from the standpoint of the
- 11 hearing date? That's fine, yeah. I mean, I've got
- 12 next week to get this pinned down, so --
- 13 MR. FELDER: Okay.
- MS. HERMELING: I mean, I think you and I should
- 15 be able to do that.
- 16 JUDGE RILEY: My only question, that being a
- 17 holiday week, will the witness be available?
- 18 MR. FELDER: Yes.
- 19 JUDGE RILEY: The witness will be -- okay.
- I was going to propose Tuesday,
- 21 July 2nd, at 10:00 a.m.
- MR. FELDER: That's a rough day for me. I can

- 1 make it, but I'm not -- it would have to be in the
- 2 afternoon.
- 3 JUDGE RILEY: What about July 3rd?
- 4 MR. FELDER: July 3rd is fine for me.
- 5 JUDGE RILEY: Ms. Hermeling?
- 6 MS. HERMELING: That's fine.
- 7 JUDGE RILEY: Mr. Cianfarini?
- 8 MR. CIANFARINI: That's fine, Judge.
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. What I will do is I will
- 10 make that at 11:00 a.m. on July 3. And that will be
- 11 for hearing, should it be necessary.
- 12 And, again, if the matter -- if
- 13 the stipulation is filed with our e-Docket and all
- 14 parties are in accord, that will obviate the need
- 15 for the hearing. We will not --
- 16 MR. FELDER: If we get a --
- 17 JUDGE RILEY: -- have to reconvene.
- 18 MR. FELDER: If we get a signed stipulation, I
- 19 will take care of that and advise you immediately.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Did I say that was 11:00
- 21 a.m.?
- 22 MR. FELDER: Yes.

- 1 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Then I guess the next order
- 2 of business is simply to get the stipulation to the
- 3 Staff and see if everybody's gets on board and find
- 4 out whether or not there is an issue or not.
- 5 MR. FELDER: Right.
- 6 JUDGE RILEY: Anything further from the Attorney
- 7 General?
- 8 MR. FELDER: No, I think that sums it up.
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: Ms. Hermeling, anything further?
- 10 MS. HERMELING: No.
- 11 JUDGE RILEY: Staff, did you have anything
- 12 further?
- 13 MR. CIANFARINI: No.
- 14 JUDGE RILEY: All right then. I will continue
- 15 this matter to July 3 at 11:00 a.m. pending the
- 16 receipt of the stipulation, and we'll just see where
- 17 we go from there. Thank you.
- 18 (Whereupon, further proceedings
- in the above-entitled matter
- were continued to July 3, 2002,
- 21 at 11:00 a.m.)

22